

Master of Arts in Christian Apologetics Spring 2019

Student Learning Objective 1: Students will demonstrate critical and constructive thought processes in cultural and apologetic engagement.
ATS Degree Program Goal – The capacity for critical and constructive theological reflection regarding the content and processes of the areas of specialized ministry

Measures	Baseline	Results Last Year/Improvement	New Benchmark(s)	Action Plan Steps Recommended in 2017
<u>Direct measure(s)</u>	<u>Direct measure(s)</u>	<u>Direct measure(s)</u>	<u>Direct measure(s)</u>	<u>Direct measure(s)</u>
<p><i>Embedded Assignments</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Systematic Theology I (combined average from Spring/Summer2018 and Fall 2018) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3.08 out of 4.0 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3.12/+0.04 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3.1 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review communications to adjuncts to ensure they adequately communicate expectations and course requirements to students.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exploring the NT (combined average from Spring 2018 and Fall 2018) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3.10 out of 4.0 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3.22/+0.12 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Biblical Studies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TBD by Biblical Studies
<p><i>PHIL6370 Supervised Apologetics Ministry Practicum</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mentor’s Evaluation of Student Form (average from Fall 2018) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 85% of students receive a score of ‘good’ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No longer assessed.10-50% of students passed the pass or fail course. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 85% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revise likert scale and syllabus to be more in keeping with the course.
<u>Indirect measure(s)</u>	<u>Indirect measure(s)</u>	<u>Indirect measure(s)</u>	<u>Indirect measure(s)</u>	<u>Indirect measure(s)</u>

<p><i>Student Evaluations</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Questions 2 and 6 (combined average of these four questions from THEO5300, Spring 2018 and Fall 2018) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4.68 out of 5.0 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4.75/+.07 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4.7 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitor evaluations to retain excellent results.
---	---	---	---	--

Student Learning Objective 2: Students will be able to design, implement, lead, and assess an apologetic ministry within their contexts.
ATS Degree Program Goal – Skill in the design, implementation, and assessment of ministry in these specialized areas

Measures	Baseline	Results Last Year/ Improvement	New Benchmark(s)	Action Plan Steps Recommended in 2017
<i>Direct measure(s)</i>	<i>Direct measure(s)</i>	<i>Direct measure(s)</i>	<i>Direct measure(s)</i>	<i>Direct measure(s)</i>
<p><i>PHIL6370 Supervised Apologetics Ministry Practicum</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mentor’s Evaluation of Student Form (average from Fall 2018) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 85% of students receive a score of ‘good’ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No longer assessed. 10-50% of students passed the pass or fail course. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 85% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revise likert scale and syllabus to be more in keeping with the course.

<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Indirect measure(s)</u></p> <p><i>Student Evaluations</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Questions 2 and 7 (combined average of these four questions from THEO5300, Fall 2018) 	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Indirect measure(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4.68 out of 5.0 	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Indirect measure(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4.71/+0.03 	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Indirect measure(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4.7 	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Indirect measure(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitor evaluations to retain excellent results.
---	---	--	---	--

Student Learning Objective 3: Students will demonstrate their understanding of and ability to communicate biblical, theological, and historical truth in settings that require the defense of the Christian faith.

ATS Degree Program Goal – An understanding of the various disciplines that undergird the area of specialized ministry

Measures	Baseline	Results Last Year/ Improvement	New Benchmark(s)	Action Plan Steps Recommended in 2017
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Direct measure(s)</u></p> <p><i>Embedded Assignments</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Systematic Theology I (combined average from Spring/Summer2018 and Fall 2018) 	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Direct measure(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3.08 out of 4.0 	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Direct measure(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2.94/-0.14 	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Direct measure(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3.1 	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Direct measure(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review communications to adjuncts to ensure they adequately communicate expectations and course requirements to students.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exploring the NT (Fall 2018) <p><i>PHIL6370 Supervised Apologetics Ministry Practicum</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mentor's Evaluation of Student Form (average from Fall 2018) <p><u>Indirect measure(s)</u></p> <p><i>Student Evaluations</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Questions 3 and 4 (combined average of these four questions from THEO5300, Spring 2018 and Fall 2018) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3.10 out of 4.0 <p><u>Indirect measures(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 85% of students receive a score of 'good' <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4.60 out of 5.0 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3.22/+.12 <p><u>Indirect measures(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No longer assessed. 10-50% of students passed the pass or fail course. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4.68/+.08 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Biblical Studies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 85% <p><u>Indirect measures(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4.7 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TBD by Biblical Studies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Revise likert scale and syllabus to be more in keeping with the course. <p><u>Indirect measure(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitor evaluations to retain excellent results.
--	--	---	---	--

Student Learning Objective 4: Students will show personal and spiritual maturation in their development as Christian apologists.

ATS Degree Program Goal – Growth in personal and spiritual maturity

Measures	Baseline	Results Last Year/ Improvement	New Benchmark(s)	Action Plan Steps Recommended in 2017
<p><u>Direct measure(s)</u></p> <p><i>PHIL6370 Supervised Apologetics Ministry Practicum</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mentor’s Evaluation of Student Form (average from Fall 2018) Personal Evaluation Paper 	<p><u>Direct measure(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 85% of students receive a score of ‘good’ 80% of students ‘meets’ or ‘exceeds’ expectations on likert scale 	<p><u>Direct measure(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No longer assessed. 10-50% of students passed the pass or fail course. No longer assessed 	<p><u>Direct measure(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 85% 	<p><u>Direct measure(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revise likert scale and syllabus to be more in keeping with the course. TBD; Consider adding the embedded assignment from ETHC5300 as a requirement for PHIL6370 in order to measure SLO 4 (possibly use as a direct measure rather than the Personal Evaluation Paper) <i>*Not Completed</i>
<p><u>Indirect measure(s)</u></p> <p><i>Student Evaluations</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Questions 3 and 6 (combined average of these four questions from THEO5300, Fall 2018) <p><i>Student Satisfactory Degree Program Survey</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Christian Theological Heritage 	<p><u>Indirect measure(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4.68 out of 5.0 N/A 	<p><u>Indirect measures(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4.72/+0.04 94% marked the top 2 categories with the highest number range as “Well-prepared.” 	<p><u>Indirect measures(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4.7 93% 	<p><u>Indirect measures(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor evaluations to retain excellent results. Add “Student Satisfactory Degree Program Survey” as additional indirect measure.