

**New Orleans Baptist Theological Seminary
Degree Review Rubric**

Jury of Professors: K. Brown, D. Cole, J. Dean, M. Edens, A. England, N. Grubbs,
A. Harwood, I. Jones, S. Lemke, K. L. McGehee, H. Mosley, P. Nix,
D. Odom, P. Pinckard, B. Rice, J. Riley, G. Woodward

Date: May 17, 2018
Degree Reviewed: MDiv

This is a summary review of the identified degree. Please indicate your professional judgment whether the identified degree allows the students to accomplish the SLOs of the degree. This summary is to be based upon your analysis of the program report of the assessment of the artifacts.

Program Goal	Level of Student Achievement Toward Program Goal	Additional Comments
<p>Program Goal 1 (ATS): Foster students' knowledge of religious heritage.</p> <p>SLO 1: Students will demonstrate their understanding and ability to interpret and communicate accurately biblical, theological, and historical truth.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">○ ○ ○ ○ ○</p> <p>Did not meet</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Results from Jury: 4.2</p> <p style="text-align: center;">4 points: 15 counts 5 points: 2 counts</p>	<p>Most areas demonstrated improvement, thus degree shows forward movement.</p> <p>Not a clear choice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ambiguous settings in a few areas. - Losses/gains in 2 areas on average - A bit better <p>Consider reporting medians to reduce influence with outliers.</p> <p>Good idea to move to one assessor.</p> <p>Good action plans.</p> <p>It seems to create the most healthy culture of accreditation that benchmark for courses (e.g., T&H) be consistent for juries. This data could be provided in a Google document.</p>

<p>Program Goal 2 (ATS): Foster understanding of the cultural context.</p> <p>SLO 2: Students will demonstrate an understanding of cultural contexts by displaying relevant ministry skills.</p>	<p>○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Did not meet Exceeds</p> <p>Results from Jury: 3.4</p> <p>2 points: 1 counts 3 points: 9 counts 4 points: 7 counts</p>	<p>Met or exceeded 2 benchmarks. Fell short of 2 others, thus an average score.</p> <p>Some improvement in areas, but difficult to access them overall.</p> <p>Bit lower than average, but not in terrible shape.</p> <p>Some action plans; need and will be adjusted.</p>
<p>Program Goal 3 (ATS): Foster growth in spiritual depth and moral integrity.</p> <p>SLO 3: Students will demonstrate spiritual and moral maturity through their studies and relational experiences in the program.</p>	<p>○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Did not meet Exceeds</p> <p>Results from Jury: 4.3</p> <p>2 points: 1 count 3 points: 1 count 4 points: 7 counts 5 points: 8 counts</p>	<p>Improvements in all categories.</p> <p>We are on pace to consistently fulfill this goal.</p> <p>In all categories: Very thoughtful evaluation process.</p> <p>Clarity needed regarding Spiritual Formation.</p>
<p>Program Goal 4 (ATS): Foster capacity for ministerial and public leadership.</p> <p>SLO 4: Students will demonstrate skills in ministry and public leadership.</p>	<p>○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Did not meet Exceeds</p> <p>Results from Jury: 4.2</p> <p>4 points: 13 counts 5 points: 3 counts</p> <p>(1 jury member did not rate this Program Goal/SLO)</p>	<p>Most areas show improvement.</p> <p>Though one indirect measure appears lower in performance, it is still above the 85% base.</p> <p>Revise the Grad Survey with regard to the category of the responses to be more reflective of student experience.</p>