

Assessment Map for BA in Theology

Terms Assessed: SP23-FA23

Jury Members: Dr. Tommy Doughty (Program Coordinator); Dr. Greg Wilton; Joshua Brittain

Date of Jury: May 13, 2024; 4:30pm

Program Learning Objective #1: *Biblical Interpretation—The graduate will interpret and communicate the Bible accurately.*

Alignment to Mission Statement/Strategic Plan: *Devotion (mission statement); Proclamation (mission statement)*

Alignment to ATS/NASM/CACREP Goals (if applicable): *n/a*

Measures (means of program assessment)	Criteria for Success (benchmark set last cycle)	Results (report, summarize, reflect)—disaggregate by location and semester	Use of Results (make action plan to reach criteria, set new criteria if needed, AND discuss success of previous cycle's action plans)
Direct Measures Final exegesis paper collected from <i>Interpreting the Bible</i> , <i>Introduction to Preaching</i> , and <i>Introduction to Teaching</i> and assessed with Leavell College Biblical Interpretation Rubric, using the composite score as the metric.	This is a new measure so we have no benchmark. The composite score on the rubric will serve as the metric.	Aggregate: 3.12 <i>Interpreting the Bible:</i> 3.08 SP23 Aggregate: 3.08 NOLA: 2.75 FLEX: 3.4 FA23 Aggregate: 3.57 NOLA: 3.55 FLEX: 3.40 KTI: 3.81 KTI FLEX: 3.5 <i>Intro to Teaching:</i> SP23: NOLA: not assessed HAR: not assessed FA23: 2.7 NOLA: 2.81 FLEX: 2.6 <i>Intro to Preaching:</i> 3.57	New Benchmark: 3.25 aggregate across courses AP: Program Coordinator of Biblical Studies (Jeff Audirsch) also responsible for oversight of <i>Int Bible</i> measure. He will revise the assignment instructions for the Exegesis Paper to focus more on historical context, <u>due May 2024</u> . AP: Leavell College Associate Dean (Tommy Doughty) will verify and send reminders to collector of <i>Teaching</i> artifacts to avoid

<i>Indirect Measures</i> Combined average score on Course Evaluation items 1, 2, and 9 for <i>Interpreting the Bible, Introduction to Preaching, and Introduction to Teaching</i>.	_____ This is a new measure so we have no benchmark.	SP23: 3.44 SPAN: 3.44 FA23: 3.7 NOLA: 3.7 _____ Aggregate: 4.86, 4.76, 4.74 (4.79) <i>Int Bible</i>: 4.9, 4.85, 4.9 (4.88) SP23: 4.9, 4.8, 4.9 (avg. 4.83) NOLA/FLEX: 4.9, 4.8, 4.9 FA23: 4.95, 4.9, 4.9 (4.92) NOLA/FLEX: 4.9, 4.8, 4.8 KTI/KTI FLEX: 5.0, 5.0, 5.0 <i>Intro Teach</i>: 4.88, 4.88, 4.83 (4.86) SP23: 4.75, 4.75, 4.65 (4.72) Hardee: 4.5, 4.5, 4.3 (4.43) NOLA: 5.0, 5.0, 5.0 (5.0) FA23: 5.0, 5.0, 5.0 (5.0) NOLA/FLEX: 5.0, 5.0, 5.0 (5.0) <i>Intro Preach</i>: 4.8, 4.55, 4.5 (4.62) SP23: 4.9, 4.7, 4.7 (4.77) SPAN: 4.9, 4.7, 4.7 (4.77) FA23: 4.7, 4.4, 4.3 (4.47) NOLA/FLEX: 4.7, 4.4, 4.3 (4.47) <u>Summary/Reflection/Discussion:</u> Overall, Dr. Audirsch noted weakness on historical context in details on Interpreting scores. Missing some artifacts for a full semester.	missing data, <u>beginning Summer 2024</u>. New BM: 4.25 aggregate across courses
---	--	---	--

Program Learning Objective #2: Theological and Historical Interpretation—The graduate will interpret and communicate theological and historical truth accurately.

Alignment to Mission Statement/Strategic Plan: Proclamation (mission statement)

Alignment to ATS/NASM/CACREP Goals (if applicable): n/a

Measures (means of program assessment)	Criteria for Success (benchmark set last cycle)	Results (report, summarize, reflect)—disaggregate by location and semester	Use of Results (make action plan to reach criteria, set new criteria if needed, AND discuss success of previous cycle's action plans)
Direct Measures Theological Reflection #2 from <i>Christian Doctrine</i> assessed with the Leavell College Theological and Historical Interpretation Rubric.	This is a new measure so we have no benchmark. The composite score on the rubric will serve as the metric.	Aggregate: 3.11 SP23 Aggregate: 2.83 ONL: 3.33 BHAM: 2.97 ORL: 2.19 MCIW: did not submit FA23 Aggregate: 3.39 NOLA: 3.43 FLEX: 3.33 SPAN: 3.42	New BM: 3.25 aggregate AP: As collector of <i>Doctrine</i> measure, Dr. Doughty will communicate to instructors the importance to prepare students for historical aspect of reflections. <u>Beginning Fall 2024</u>, Dr. Doughty will supply instructors with his assignment overview video which includes historical resources and strategies.
Indirect Measures Combined average score on Course Evaluation items 1, 2, and 9 for <i>Christian Doctrine</i>	This is a new measure so we have no benchmark.	Aggregate: 4.81, 4.63, 4.73 (4.73) SP23: 4.8, 4.5, 4.7 (4.2) ONL: 5.0, 4.9, 4.8 (4.9) BHAM: 4.3, 4.3, 4.0 (4.2) ORL: 5.0, 4.5, 5.0 (4.8) MCIW: 4.8, 4.4, 4.8 (4.7) FA23: 4.9, 4.85, 4.9 (4.89) NOLA/FLEX: 5.0, 4.9, 5.0 (4.97) SPAN: 4.8, 4.8, 4.8 (4.8) <u>Summary/Reflection/Discussion:</u>	New BM for Indirect: 4.5

		Doctrine scores improving. Dr. Doughty affirmed Dr. Audirsch's concern on <i>Interpreting the Bible</i> with a parallel observation that interaction with historical truth lacking (detail of <i>Christian Doctrine</i> rubric scores).	
--	--	--	--

Program Learning Objective #3: Competency in Chosen Field—The graduate will demonstrate mastery in Theology.

Alignment to Mission Statement/Strategic Plan: Servanthood (mission statement), Mission (mission statement)

Alignment to ATS/NASM/CACREP Goals (if applicable): n/a

Measures (means of program assessment)	Criteria for Success (benchmark set last cycle)	Results (report, summarize, reflect)—disaggregate by location and semester	Use of Results (make action plan to reach criteria, set new criteria if needed, AND discuss success of previous cycle's action plans)
Direct Measures <i>Senior Seminar</i> paper using composite score of Senior Seminar rubric	This is a new measure so we have no benchmark.	Aggregate: 3.06 <i>Senior Seminar</i> Aggregate: 2.99 SP23 Aggregate:2.65 NOLA: 2.75 ONL: 2.56 ANG: 2.92 PARCH: 2.0 LCIW: 2.69 HAR: 2.97 FA23 Aggregate:3.33 NOLA: 3.63 ONL: 2.9	New BM (SS only): 3.00 AP: Program coordinator (Tommy Doughty) will notify <i>Senior Seminar</i> instructors that he, Matt James, and Chris Shaffer are available to consult with students on SS paper topics and helpful resources, <u>beginning Fall 2024.</u> AP: In future assessments, prison and language sections of SS will not be considered.
Research Papers from <i>Doctrine of</i> classes (LCTH4349, 4350, 4351, 4352, 4353)¹ assessed with the Leavell College Theological and Historical Interpretation Rubric	Projected benchmark: 2.75 composite score	<i>LCTH4353</i> Aggregate: 3.20 FA23: 3.20 NOLA: 3.13 FLEX: 3.31	New BM (Doctrine of): 3.25 across courses

<i>Indirect Measures</i> Combined average score on Course Evaluation items 1, 2, and 9 for <i>Senior Seminar</i> and <i>LCTH4349, 4350, 4351, 4352, 4353</i>.	This is a new measure so we have no benchmark.	Aggregate: 4.75 <i>Senior Seminar</i> Aggregate: SP23 Aggregate: 4.81 NOLA: 5.0, 5.0, 5.0 (5.0) ONL: 4.7, 4.6, 4.3 (4.53) ANG: 5.0, 4.9, 5.0 (4.97) PARCH: not submitted LCIW: 4.9, 4.8, 4.8 (4.83) HAR: 4.9, 4.7, 4.8 (4.7) FA23: 4.67 NOLA: 5.0, 4.8, 4.9 (4.9) ONL: 4.5, 4.5, 4.0 (4.33) <i>LCTH4353</i> FA23 NO/FLEX: not submitted <u>Summary/Reflection/Discussion:</u> Program Coordinator (Tommy Doughty) noted higher than expected scores on the one <i>Doctrine of</i> class considered this cycle. The next jury will have multiple sections to compare across semesters. Dr. Doughty noted to jury members how some <i>Doctrine of</i>s will be more slanted to historical concerns and others to biblical concerns, so the detail from more data will help with	
--	---	---	--

		content-specific improvement down the road. Dr. Wilton questioned why prison scores were considered since no students in those sections are in this program. Still, the Spring 2023 scores were low, so Dr. Doughty recommended following through on an unfulfilled intention of making Leavell College faculty available for SS research projects.	
--	--	---	--

¹ Upper-level *Doctrine of* classes include LCTH4349 *Doctrine of Humanity, Sin, and Suffering*; LCTH4350 *Doctrine of God*; LCTH4351 *Doctrine of the Church*; LCTH5352 *Doctrine of Salvation & Religious Diversity*; LCTH4353 *Doctrine of the Person & Work of Christ*

Executive Summary:

This is the first assessment of this major which was revised in 2023. Dr. Doughty expressed satisfaction with our first round of results and shared concerns from an earlier jury on objectives #1-2. Specific to Theology, we hope to improve competency of program students by offering more upper-level *Doctrine of* classes on the schedule cycle and to provide faculty interaction with *Senior Seminar* students. Action plans should be acted on easily this calendar year, providing more data in the next full assessment.