Doctor of Philosophy – Old Testament

PLO 1: The student will demonstrate a comprehensive knowledge of the field of Old Testament

	gaining a comprehensive knowledge of		Use of Degults (moles estion plan
Measures (means of program	Criteria for Success (benchmark	` • ′	Use of Results (make action plan
assessment)	set last cycle)	reflect)—disaggregate by location	,
			if needed, AND discuss success of
			previous cycle's plans)
Direct Measures (at least one) 1. Comprehensive Exam Evaluation Rubric – Measure percentage of students who achieve a score of "pass" or above. Indirect Measures (at least one) 1. End of Semester Supervision Report questions: "Are you satisfied with your progress and development as a student?" and "How often did you meet with your supervisor this semester?" Use questions to determine student satisfaction with supervisor.	IM#1. No benchmark set – first cycle using measure.	DM#1. 3/4 or 75% of Old Testament PhD students achieved a "pass" or above on their oral or comprehensive exams. IM#1. 4/4 of Old Testament PhD students surveyed reported a positive interaction with their faculty supervisor for a total percentage of 100%. Reflection: • The data for the indirect measure are insufficient to accurately assess the program. Due to changes in the assessment measure, only one semester worth of data (spring 2023) was available for the indirect measure. • The low number of respondents to the End of Semester Supervision reports further limits the value of the data for assessing the OT PhD program.	 Set benchmark for DM #1 as 80% of students receiving a grade of "pass" or higher. Set benchmark for IM #1 as a 3.5 for question 3 on the End of Semester Supervision and Program Evaluation. Revise Indirect Measure to use Question #3 on a new survey to be called "End of Semester Supervision and Program Evaluation PhD Student Survey." This survey will be administered by the Research Doctoral Office at the end of each semester. See attached survey. Completed.

	The End of Semester survey	
	does not ask students about	
	program satisfaction	
	directly. This measure could	
	be accomplished more	
	directly using a revised	
	survey that asked pointed	
	questions about student	
	satisfaction.	

PLO 2: The student will demonstrate competence in research and writing by producing original research contributing to scholarship in Old Testament advancing theological understanding within Christian contexts.

ATS Degree Program Goal - 5.13b developing competence to engage in original research and writing that advances theological understanding for the academy and for communities of faith

Measures (means of program assessment)	Criteria for Success (benchmark set last cycle)	Results (report, summarize, reflect)—disaggregate by location and semester	if needed, AND discuss success of
			previous cycle's plans)
Direct Measures (at least one)	DM#1. No benchmark set – first	DM#1. No Old Testament PhD	Benchmark for DM #1 to be
1. Dissertation Defense Evaluation	cycle using measure.	students defended a dissertation in	set as "80% of students will
Rubric– Measure percentage of		the assessment period	achieve a "pass" or higher
students who achieve a score of			on their dissertation defense.
"pass" or above.	DM#2. No benchmark set – first	DM#2. 0/9 students in program	• Benchmark for DM #2 to be
	cycle using measure	reported activity in a professional	50% percent of students will
2. Based on student reporting to the		society or a publication to the PhD	have either a published
PhD office via PhD post, measure		office.	article, book review, or
student participation in professional			presented at a professional
societies/conferences and	IM#1. No benchmark set – first	IM#1. 1/4 or 25% of Old Testament	
publication in the field.	semester using measure.	students surveyed reported	• Set benchmark for IM #1 as
		discussing their research with a	a 3.0 for question 4 on the
Indirect Measures (at least one)		supervisor.	End of Semester Supervision
1. End of Semester Supervision			and Program Evaluation.
Report questions: "What did you		Reflection:	
discuss or work on with your		 The data for the indirect 	Improve collection of
supervisor during these meetings?"		measure are insufficient to	student
Use questions to determine		accurately assess the	publication/professional

percentage of students discussed	program. Due to changes in	society involvement using
percentage of students discussed research with faculty member.	program. Due to changes in the assessment measure, only one semester worth of data (spring 2023) was available for the indirect measure. • The low number of respondents to the End of Semester Supervision reports further limits the value of the data for assessing the OT PhD program. • The End of Semester survey	society involvement using direct question on End of Semester Survey. Revise Indirect Measure to use Question #4 on a new survey to be called "End of Semester Supervision and Program Evaluation PhD Student Survey." This survey will be administered by the Research Doctoral Office at the end of each semester. See attached survey. Completed.
	does not ask students directly about their participation in professional societies/publication in the field. This measure could be accomplished more directly using a revised survey that asked pointed questions about student satisfaction.	

PLO 3: The student will demonstrate a capacity for a vocation of theological scholarship for research, writing, teaching, and learning whether in the academy, Christian ministry, counseling, or other areas of professional leadership.

ATS Degree Program Goal – 5.13c and demonstrating capacities for the vocation of theological scholarship in research, teaching and learning, and formation.

Measures (means of program	Criteria for Success (benchmark	` • /	Use of Results (make action plan
assessment)	set last cycle)	reflect)—disaggregate by location	to reach criteria, set new criteria
		and semester	if needed, AND discuss success of
			previous cycle's plans)
Direct Measures (at least one) 1. Teaching in Higher Education Portfolio Rubric	DM#1. No benchmark set – first semester using measure. DM#2. No benchmark set – first semester using measure.	DM#1. No results were gathered due to changes in the teaching in Higher Education Course. DM#2. No results were gathered.	 Set benchmark for criteria for success for next assessment for direct and indirect measures. Eliminate DM #1.

2. Students who have guest lectured and/or have Teaching Contracts	DM# seme
3. Students serving in vocational ministry, counseling, or other areas of professional leadership	IM#1 seme
Indirect Measures (at least one) 1. End of Semester Supervision Report questions: "What did you discuss or work on with your supervisor during these meetings?" Use questions to determine percentage of students discussed teaching with supervisors.	

DM#3. No benchmark set – first semester using measure.

IM#1. No benchmark set – first semester using measure.

DM#3. No results were gathered.

IM#1. 0/4 or 0% of Old Testament Students surveyed reported discussing teaching with their supervisor.

Reflection:

- Changes in staff in the PhD office made collection of data difficult to the point that some key pieces of data were not gathered on behalf of the academic program during the assessment period.
- The Research Doctoral Office in concert with the program coordinator for the PhD in Old Testament changed the assessment measures for all PhD programs in the fall semester of 2023. This did not give adequate time to collect data for direct measures #2 and #3.
- The data for the indirect measure are insufficient to accurately assess the program. Due to changes in the assessment measure, only one semester worth of data (spring 2023) was available for the indirect measure.
- The End of Semester survey does not ask students directly about whether they

- Set benchmark for DM#2 to 20% of students report teaching.
- Set benchmark for DM #3 at 80% of students serving in vocational ministry, counseling, or other areas of professional leadership.
- Set benchmark for IM #1 as a 3.5 for question 7 on the End of Semester Supervision and Program Evaluation.
- Revise Indirect Measure to use Question #7 on a new survey to be called "End of Semester Supervision and Program Evaluation PhD Student Survey." This survey will be administered by the Research Doctoral Office at the end of each semester. See attached survey. Completed.

	discussed teaching with their supervisor. This measure could be accomplished more directly using a revised survey that asked pointed questions about student satisfaction.
--	--